Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	narv	10/010,689	PERLMAN, DANIEL
	rar y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	•	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .		(3)	· .
(2) <u>Dan Perlman</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 March 2004		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 39 and 40 Prior art documents discussed: Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eseparate rec	examiner will provide a writt cord of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applicant/A	applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that in claim 39, the language regarding a plastic composition was not needed since applicant had already indicated that the container is fabricated from at least one plastic resin. In claim 40, the language regarding the container is fabricated from at least one plastic resin will be added to the claimed and the period at the end of subsection 2 will be replaced with a semi-colon.